

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,161	1	2/15/2003	Kevin T. Foley	64118.000045	2165
21967	7590	01/03/2006		EXAM	INER
HUNTON	& WILLI	AMS LLP	WILLSE, DAVID H		
INTELLEC	TUAL PRO	PERTY DEPART	MENT		
1900 K STREET, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 120	0		3738		
WASHING	TON, DC	20006-1109			

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		E				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/734,161	FOLEY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dave Willse	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA:  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 De	ecember 2003.					
,	·					
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s)is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau		od				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8 pages total.		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Art Unit: 3738

The Request for Declaration of Interference filed on January 29, 2004, is acknowledged and has been considered. On pages 11-16 of said Request, the Applicant asserts that each of the present claim limitations are supported in at least one of the parent applications and primarily refers to U.S. patent number 5,792,044. The examiner has carefully reviewed the Applicant's U.S. patent number 6,679,833 B2 and U.S. patent application publication 2003/0139648 A1 along with passages referenced by the Applicant in U.S. patent number 5,792,044. When an explicit limitation in a claim "is not present in the written description whose benefit is sought it must be shown that a person of ordinary skill would have understood, at the time the patent application was filed, that the description requires that limitation" (Hyatt v. Boone, 146 F.3D 1348, 1353, 47 USPQ2d 1128, 1131 (Fed. Cir. 1998); MPEP § 2163; emphasis added). In the documents identified above, there is no discussion of two bone screws being inserted through the same inserted cannula (as opposed to separate, spaced apart cannulae, for example) and no hint of any spinal rod or plate being inserted through said cannula; in fact, spinal rods and plates are not even mentioned. An example of pertinent art available to the ordinary practitioner prior to the instant invention date purported by the Applicant is US 5,357,983, which discusses the insertion of a bone screw through a tapered tube (column 5, line 55, through column 6, line 11) yet uses forceps to insert each fixation plate through an incision (column 6, lines 22-27). Therefore, one of ordinary skill would not have recognized the missing descriptive matter as being necessarily present in the Applicant's prior applications, so the effective date of the present invention is deemed to be December 15, 2003.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/734,161 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Davison et al., WO 02/09801 A1: Figures 5 and 29-38; page 18, line 9, through page 19, line 1; page 54, lines 5-18; page 60, lines 1-20; page 61, line 18, through page 62, line 10; etc.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave Willse whose telephone number is 571-272-4762. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott, can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3738